

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,627	03/01/2004	Brad N. Mathiowetz	P32.12-0022	1342
27367 7590 04/25/2007 WESTMAN CHAMPLIN & KELLY, P.A.			EXAMINER	
SUITE 1400	·	•	CHUO, TONY SHENG HSIANG	
900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-3319			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1745	
•		•		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/25/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/790,627	MATHIOWETZ ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tony Chuo	1745				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Fe	ebruary 2007.					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>3/1/04</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/21/07.	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application				

Art Unit: 1745

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. Claims 1-19 are currently pending. The amended claims do overcome the previously stated 103 rejections. However, upon further consideration, claims 1-19 are rejected under the following new 102 and 103 rejections. This action is made FINAL as necessitated by the amendment.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 2/21/07 was filed on
 2/21/07. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97.
 Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 8, 12, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stafford et al (US 5763118). The Stafford reference discloses a housing support for temperature regulation of a battery and a process of covering the battery comprising: providing a battery cell "22"; covering an outer surface of the battery with a first heat-conductor layer "42" that conforms with the outer surface of the battery; and

Art Unit: 1745

providing a second structural support outer layer "48" that is shaped to conform to an outer layer surface of the first heat-conductor layer (See column 3 line 67 to column 4 line 2 and column 4 line 56 to column 5 line 24 and Figures 1 and 3). It also discloses a first heat-conductor layer that comprises two thermally conductive half-shells "26a" & "26b" that each cover one side of a round surface of the battery (See column 4, lines 18-19).

Examiner's note: It is inherent that the battery comprises a hot spot during a short circuit and the heat-conductor layer would conduct the heat flow over a portion of the outer surface of the heat-conductor layer that is larger than the hot spot. It is also inherent that the structural support outer layer, made of a composite material with a polymeric matrix such as epoxy, would retard the flow of heat to an outer surface such that the temperature of the outer surface of the protection resin layer has a measured maximum temperature of 130 degrees centigrade or less during the short circuit condition. It is further noted that although Stafford et al does not explicitly teach using the battery in a combustible atmosphere, a recitation of intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. Since the Stafford battery is capable of having an outer surface temperature that is lower than a temperature that can cause combustion in a combustible atmosphere, it meets the claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,627 Page 4

Art Unit: 1745

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 4, 5, 13, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stafford et al (US 5763118) in view of Dansui et al (US 2003/0013009). The Stafford reference is applied to claims 1 and 12 for reasons stated above. However, Stafford et al does not expressly teach a first layer of material that comprises aluminum or copper. The Dansui reference discloses a battery housing that is made of aluminum or copper (See paragraph [0013]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Stafford housing support to include a first layer of material that comprises aluminum or copper in order to utilize a material that has excellent thermal conduction properties and is suited for suppressing a battery temperature rise.
- 7. Claims 6, 7, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stafford et al (US 5763118) in view of Toyoda (JP 2001-243927). The Stafford reference is applied to claims 1 and 12 for reasons stated above. However, Stafford et al does not expressly teach a second layer of material that comprises heat-shrink tubing or elastic material. The Toyoda reference discloses a heat shrink member "8" that is also an elastic material that covers a battery (See paragraph [0008]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

Art Unit: 1745

made to modify the Stafford housing support to include a second layer of material that comprises heat-shrink tubing or elastic material in order to improve the reliability of the outer package of the battery while preventing the generation of an outside short circuit.

8. Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stafford et al (US 5763118) in view of Miller et al (US 5204194). The Stafford reference discloses a housing support for temperature regulation of a battery: providing a plurality of battery cells "22"; a first heat-conductor layer "42" that conforms with the outer surface of the battery; and a second structural support outer layer "48" that is shaped to conform to an outer layer surface of the first heat-conductor layer (See column 3 line 67 to column 4 line 2 and column 4 line 56 to column 5 line 24, and Figures 1, 3, & 5). It also discloses electrical contacts "34" (See column 4, lines 9-10).

Examiner's note: It is inherent that the battery comprises a hot spot during a short circuit and the heat-conductor layer would conduct the heat flow from the hot spot. It is also inherent that the structural support outer layer, made of a composite material with a polymeric matrix such as epoxy, would retard the flow of heat to an outer surface such that the temperature of the outer surface of the protection resin layer is lower than a temperature which can cause combustion in a combustible atmosphere. It is further noted that although Stafford et al does not explicitly teach using the battery in a combustible atmosphere, a recitation of intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. Since the Stafford battery is capable of having an outer surface temperature that is lower than a

Art Unit: 1745

temperature that can cause combustion in a combustible atmosphere, it meets the claims.

However, Stafford et al does not expressly teach a protective device including a fusible link; electrical interconnections that interconnect the plurality of battery cells in a series circuit with the protective device and the electrical contacts; and a plastic resin shell shaped to receive the plurality of covered cells and the protective device. The Miller reference discloses a multicell battery comprising: a plurality of electrical energy storage cells "24" & "26"; a protective device including a fusible link "64" and electrical interconnections "50" that interconnect the plurality of electrical energy storage cells in series circuit with the protective device and the electrical connection leads; and a plastic resin shell "22" shaped to receive the plurality of covered cells and the protective device (See Figure 1 and 3 and column 3, lines 39-41).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Stafford battery pack to include a protective device including a fusible link; electrical interconnections that interconnect the plurality of battery cells in a series circuit with the protective device and the electrical contacts; and a plastic resin shell shaped to receive the plurality of covered cells and the protective device in order to provide an overcurrent protection device that is easily and economically constructed.

9. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stafford et al (US 5763118) in view of Miller et al (US 5204194) as applied to claims 9 and 10 above, and further in view of Maggert et al (US 6724170). However, Stafford et al as

Art Unit: 1745

modified by Miller et al does not expressly teach a plastic resin shell that includes plastic resin separation bars positioned between the cells and the electrical interconnections to reduce shorting. The Maggert reference discloses a plastic casing "202" positioned between the cells and the electrical interconnections to prevent tabs from shorting (See column 3 line 66 to column 4 line 4). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Stafford/Miller battery pack to include separation bars in the plastic resin shell in order to prevent the tabs from shorting to either tabs or other cell housings.

- 10. Claims 17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stafford et al (US 5763118) in view of Iwasaki et al (US 6325611). The Stafford reference is applied to claims 1 and 12 for reasons stated above. However, Stafford et al does not expressly teach a hot spot on the storage cell during an external short circuit. The Iwasaki reference discloses an external short circuiting test that forms a hot spot on the cell near the lead member by heat generation due to the resistance of the lead member (See column 7, lines 13-22). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Stafford battery to include a hot spot on the storage cell during an external short circuit in order to confirm that the battery can maintain high safety even under the application of an extraordinarily high charge voltage.
- 11. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stafford et al (US 5763118) in view of Miller et al (US 5204194) as applied to claim 9 above, and further in view of Iwasaki et al (US 6325611). However, Stafford et al as modified by

Art Unit: 1745

Miller et al does not expressly teach a hot spot on the storage cell during an external short circuit. The Iwasaki reference discloses an external short circuiting test that forms a hot spot on the cell near the lead member by heat generation due to the resistance of the lead member (See column 7, lines 13-22). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Stafford/Miller battery to include a hot spot on the storage cell during an external short circuit in order to confirm that the battery can maintain high safety even under the application of an extraordinarily high charge voltage.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-19 have been considered but are 12. moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/790,627 Page 9

Art Unit: 1745

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tony Chuo whose telephone number is (571) 272-0717. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TC

() Y JONATHAN CREPEAU PRIMARY EXAMINER